



Hydro Place, 500 Columbus Drive.  
P.O. Box 12400, St. John's, NL  
Canada A1B 4K7  
t. 709.737.1400 f. 709.737.1800  
www.nlh.nl.ca

July 13, 2021

Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities  
Prince Charles Building  
120 Torbay Road, P.O. Box 21040  
St. John's, NL A1A 5B2

Attention: Ms. Cheryl Blundon  
Director of Corporate Services & Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Blundon:

**Re: Newfoundland Power's 2022 Capital Budget Application – Requests for Information**

Please find enclosed Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's Requests for Information NLH-NP-001 to NLH-NP-043 in relation to Newfoundland Power's 2022 Capital Budget Application.

Should you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Yours truly,

**NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR HYDRO**

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Shirley A. Walsh", positioned above a horizontal line.

Shirley A. Walsh  
Senior Legal Counsel, Regulatory  
SAW/sk

Encl.

ecc: **Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities**  
Jacqui H. Glynn  
PUB Official Email

**Newfoundland Power**  
Dominic J. Foley  
Regulatory Email

**Consumer Advocate**  
Dennis M. Browne, Q.C., Browne Fitzgerald Morgan & Avis  
Stephen F. Fitzgerald, Browne Fitzgerald Morgan & Avis  
Sarah G. Fitzgerald, Browne Fitzgerald Morgan & Avis  
Bernice Bailey, Browne Fitzgerald Morgan & Avis  
Bernard M. Coffey, Q.C.

**IN THE MATTER OF** the *Public Utilities Act*, (the "Act"); and

**IN THE MATTER OF** capital expenditures and rate base of Newfoundland Power Inc. ("Newfoundland Power"); and

**IN THE MATTER OF** an application by Newfoundland Power for an order pursuant to Sections 41 and 78 of the Act: (a) approving a 2022 Capital Budget of \$109,651,000; (b) approving certain capital expenditures related to multi-year projects commencing in 2022; and (c) fixing and determining a 2020 rate base of \$1,181,897,000.

---

**Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro**

**Requests for Information**

**NLH-NP-001 to NLH-NP-043**

**July 13, 2021**

---

- 1 **NLH-NP-001** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
2 **Volume 1, Section 2.1, 2022 Substation Refurbishment and Modernization**
- 3 a) On what basis is the replacement of HUM-T3 justified (e.g., asset condition, load  
4 requirements, etc.)?
- 5 b) Following elimination of the 4.16 kV infrastructure, in what year does  
6 Newfoundland Power forecast the Humber Substation 12.5 kV load to exceed the  
7 capacity of HUM-T3?
- 8 c) Has the HUM-T3 on-load tap changer continued to experience gassing? If so, what  
9 attempts has Newfoundland Power made to address the gassing issue?
- 10 d) Newfoundland Power provided a condition assessment report to support the  
11 replacement of HUM-T2. Does Newfoundland Power have a similar condition  
12 assessment report to support the replacement of HUM-T3? If so, please provide. If  
13 not, why not?
- 14 **NLH-NP-002** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
15 **Volume 1, Section 2.1, 2022 Substation Refurbishment and Modernization at p.3**
- 16 In considering the replacement of the Tors Cove Substation, did Newfoundland Power  
17 undertake an economic assessment of the continued operation of the Tors Cove Hydro  
18 Plant? If yes, please provide. If not, why not?
- 19 **NLH-NP-003** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
20 **Volume 1, Schedule B, Replace Vehicles and Aerial Devices 2022-2023 (Other, Multi-**  
21 **Year) at p. 70**
- 22 Please provide the quantity of vehicles currently in Newfoundland Power’s fleet by the  
23 vehicle categories identified in Table 1.

1 **NLH-NP-004** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
2 **Volume 1, Schedule B, Replace Vehicles and Aerial Devices 2022-2023 (Other, Multi-**  
3 **Year) at pp. 70–72**

4 a) Has Newfoundland Power considered extension of its replacement criteria for  
5 heavy- and light-duty vehicles (e.g., from 5 years/150,000 km to 7 years/200,000  
6 km)? If not, why not?

7 b) When was the last time Newfoundland Power reviewed its replacement criteria?

8 **NLH-NP-005** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
9 **Volume 1, Section 4.1, Distribution Reliability Initiative at p.3**

10 a) Please provide a comparison of the distribution interruption statistics five-year  
11 average of BCV-04 and the company average against that of CEA region 2.

12 b) Does Newfoundland Power consider the relative reliability of its distribution lines in  
13 comparison to that of CEA region 2 in developing its Distribution Reliability Initiative  
14 project? If not, why not?

15 **NLH-NP-006** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
16 **Volume 1, Section 4.1, Distribution Reliability Initiative at p.3**

17 a) Does Newfoundland Power’s reliability statistics include outages originating  
18 upstream of the distribution line?

19 b) Hydro’s five-year average service continuity SAIDI and SAIFI for the period (2016–  
20 2020) are 17.74 and 5.68, respectively, which includes outages of any origin  
21 impacting a Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro (“Hydro”) distribution customer.  
22 Please provide a comparison of Newfoundland Power’s reliability statistics  
23 calculated on that basis, to that of Hydro.

24 c) Does Newfoundland Power consider the relative reliability of its distribution lines  
25 compared to that of Hydro’s rural customers in developing its Distribution Reliability  
26 Initiative project? If not, why not?

- 1 **NLH-NP-007** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
2 **Volume 1, Section 3.1, Transmission Line Rebuild at p.3**
- 3 Of the transmission lines 94L and 124L poles identified as deteriorated, what quantity of  
4 poles on each line has been identified as deteriorated through mechanical testing (i.e.,  
5 sounding or core sampling)?
- 6 **NLH-NP-008** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
7 **Volume 1, Section 3.1, Transmission Line Rebuild**
- 8 Does Newfoundland Power plan to test a portion of poles removed to build a condition  
9 assessment database for the purpose of establishing a maintenance program? If not,  
10 why not?
- 11 **NLH-NP-009** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
12 **Volume 1, Section 3.1, Transmission Line Rebuild at p.3**
- 13 Does Newfoundland Power consider the degree of deterioration (decay, shell  
14 separation, and checking) in determining whether wood poles require replacement? If  
15 so, please provide the thresholds utilized for such decisions. If not, why not?
- 16 **NLH-NP-010** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
17 **Volume 1, Section 3.1, Transmission Line Rebuild at p. 2**
- 18 In cases where ice and wind loading causes stretching of the conductor and reduction in  
19 ground clearance, does Newfoundland Power consider the installation of mid-span  
20 structures as opposed to rebuilding sections of transmission lines? If not, why not?
- 21 **NLH-NP-011** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
22 **Volume 1, Section 3.1, Transmission Line Rebuild at p.3**
- 23 Please provide a list of outages, including duration, on an annualized basis, related to  
24 component failure on 124L and 94L for the period 2016–2020. If available, please  
25 provide relevant reliability statistics.

1 **NLH-NP-012** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
2 **Volume 1, Section 3.1, Transmission Line Rebuild**

3 a) Please provide annual preventive maintenance expenditures for maintenance  
4 carried out on transmission lines 124L and 94L for the period 2016–2020.

5 b) Please provide annual corrective maintenance expenditures for maintenance  
6 carried out on transmission lines 124L and 94L for the period 2016–2020.

7 **NLH-NP-013** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
8 **Volume 1, Schedule A, Transmission Line Maintenance and 3<sup>rd</sup> Party Relocations**  
9 **(Pooled) at p. 22**

10 a) Please provide a breakdown of the expenditures presented in Table 2 by general  
11 maintenance and third-party relocation expenditures.

12 b) Is Newfoundland Power forecasting its general maintenance capital expenditures to  
13 decrease as its transmission infrastructure is rebuilt? If not, why not?

14 **NLH-NP-014** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
15 **Volume 1, Section 1.2, Sandy Brook Plant Penstock Replacement, Appendix A, Table 2**  
16 **at p.A-3**

17 a) Please provide Newfoundland Power’s definition of the winter period and the  
18 numbers of hours contained within the winter period.

19 b) Please provide Newfoundland Power’s calculation of average normal production of  
20 the South Brook Hydro Plant.

21 c) Please provide the output in MW of the Sandy Brook Plant during the hour of  
22 system peak for the last for the ten-year period from 2011 to 2020.

1 **NLH-NP-015** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
2 **Volume 1, Section 1.2, Sandy Brook Plant Penstock Replacement, Appendix A**

3 The justification of the project indicates it is supported by an assessment of the benefits  
4 associated with sale of the energy to export markets. In 2020, as reported in Nalcor  
5 Energy’s Annual Financial Statements, Nalcor Energy Marketing stated its realized  
6 electricity price was \$23 CDN per MWh for its export sales. Please provide a cost-benefit  
7 analysis for this project assuming an electricity price of \$23 CDN per MWh.

8 **NLH-NP-016** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
9 **Volume 1, Section 1.2, Sandy Brook Plant Penstock Replacement, Appendix A, Table 2**  
10 **at p.A-3**

11 The analysis states that the calculation of benefits was based on a calculated all-hours  
12 electricity price. Given that Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro is projected to have an  
13 excess of energy available for export following the in-service of the Muskrat Falls  
14 Project, it is likely that any energy made available as part of this project would increase  
15 the energy available for export in off-peak hours. Please provide a cost-benefit analysis  
16 for this project assuming an electricity price calculated using winter off-peak and  
17 summer all hours pricing only.

18 **NLH-NP-017** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
19 **Volume 1, Section 1.2, Sandy Brook Plant Penstock Replacement, Appendix A**

20 Does Newfoundland Power’s economic analysis consider the costs associated with  
21 transmission tariffs (as published on the Newfoundland and Labrador System Operator  
22 OASIS portal) that would be incurred when selling energy in the export market? If yes,  
23 please provide the assumptions regarding such costs utilized for the analysis, and the  
24 basis of these assumptions. If not, why not?

- 1 **NLH-NP-018** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
2 **Volume 1, Section 1.2, Sandy Brook Plant Penstock Replacement, Appendix A**
- 3 The calculation of benefits used marginal cost information. As the *Reliability and*  
4 *Resource Adequacy Study Review* proceeding is ongoing, there remains uncertainty with  
5 respect to the timing of the next resource addition. As noted in Newfoundland Power’s  
6 application, the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities’ ongoing review of  
7 Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro’s “Reliability and Resource Adequacy Study” may  
8 impact the need for capacity additions. Did Newfoundland Power consider or perform  
9 any sensitivity analysis considering marginal cost? If so, please provide the results of  
10 such analysis. If not, why not?
- 11 **NLH-NP-019** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
12 **Volume 1, Section 1.2, Sandy Brook Plant Penstock Replacement, Appendix A**
- 13 a) Please provide a cost-benefit analysis for this project assuming a marginal cost of  
14 25% of stated values.
- 15 b) Please provide a cost-benefit analysis for this project assuming a marginal cost of  
16 50% of stated values.
- 17 c) Please provide a cost-benefit analysis for this project assuming a marginal cost of  
18 75% of stated values.
- 19 **NLH-NP-020** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
20 **Volume 1, Section 1.2, Sandy Brook Plant Penstock Replacement, Appendix A,**  
21 **Attachment C**
- 22 a) Newfoundland Power notes that beyond 2030, 2030–2042 marginal cost projections  
23 were escalated based on the Conference Board of Canada GDP deflator, long-term  
24 projection dated December 5, 2019. Please explain Newfoundland Power’s rationale  
25 as to why Gross Domestic Product (“GDP”) is an appropriate escalation for marginal  
26 cost given that the marginal cost is based on market energy pricing?
- 27 b) Please provide a cost-benefit analysis for this project removing the effects of GDP  
28 escalation on marginal cost.



- 1 **NLH-NP-021** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
 2 **Volume 1, Section 1.2, Sandy Brook Plant Penstock Replacement, Appendix A,**  
 3 **Attachment D**
- 4 What marginal cost information was used to calculate the benefits associated with the  
 5 project for the period from 2042 to 2071?
- 6 **NLH-NP-022** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
 7 **Volume 1, Section 1.2, Sandy Brook Plant Penstock Replacement, Appendix A**
- 8 a) What is the class of the capital cost estimate which supports the application for this  
 9 project? If class is unavailable, what is the accuracy range of this estimate?
- 10 b) Did Newfoundland Power conduct a sensitivity analysis with respect to capital  
 11 costs? If so, please provide the results of this analysis. If not, why not?
- 12 c) Please provide a cost-benefit analysis for this project assuming cost overruns of  
 13 25%.
- 14 d) Please provide a cost-benefit analysis for this project assuming cost overruns of  
 15 50%.
- 16 **NLH-NP-023** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
 17 **Volume 1, Section 7.1, 2022 Application Enhancements**
- 18 For each of the enhancements detailed in Appendices A, B, and C, Newfoundland Power  
 19 has identified labour savings for Years 0 to 7. Will these labour savings result in a  
 20 reduction in full-time equivalents (“FTE”)? If yes, how many FTEs are expected to be  
 21 eliminated as a result? If not, how will these labour savings be achieved?
- 22 **NLH-NP-024** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
 23 **Volume 1, Section 7.1, 2022 Application Enhancements at p.7**
- 24 Please provide the detailed breakdown of the three-year (2018–2020) historical costs  
 25 for the Various Minor Enhancements projects by initiative and cost type by year.
- 26 **NLH-NP-025** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
 27 **Volume 1, Section 7.1, 2022 Application Enhancements, Table 1 at p.1**
- 28 Please provide a breakdown of the costs classified as “Other.”

1 **NLH-NP-026** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
2 **Volume 1, Section 7.1, 2022 Application Enhancements, Table 3 at p.8**

3 Please provide a breakdown of the costs classified as “Other.”

4 **NLH-NP-027** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
5 **Volume 1, Section 7.1, 2022 Application Enhancements, sec 2.2 at p.3**

6 Please provide the basis for the estimate for the new Technology Service Management  
7 Solution, including any assumptions used in the development of the estimate.

8 **NLH-NP-028** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
9 **Volume 1, Section 7.2, 2022 System Upgrades p.3**

10 Please provide the actual expenditures, by cost type, for Various Minor Upgrades for the  
11 three-year period utilized to calculate the average cost used for the 2022 project  
12 budget.

13 **NLH-NP-029** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
14 **Volume 1, Section 7.3, Workforce Management System Replacement**

15 a) Is extended support available for the Click workforce management software beyond  
16 2023? If so, was this alternative considered?

17 b) Please provide a breakdown of the “Other” costs identified in Table 1, \$240,000 in  
18 2022 and \$685,000 in 2023, for the Workforce Management System Replacement  
19 project budget.

20 c) Please provide the basis and/or support on which the estimated replacement cost  
21 was developed.

- 1 **NLH-NP-030** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
 2 **Volume 1, Schedule A, Personal Computer Infrastructure at p.84**
- 3 a) Please extend Table 1 to include the years 2018 and 2019, to reflect the full five-  
 4 year life cycle for PC devices.
- 5 b) Does Newfoundland Power’s proposed replacement and total Mobile devices  
 6 include rugged devices?
- 7 c) Does Newfoundland Power’s proposed replacement and total Desktop devices  
 8 include workstations?
- 9 d) Please provide replacement criteria and 2018–2022B additions, retirements, and  
 10 total devices for peripheral equipment replaced within this project.
- 11 **NLH-NP-031** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
 12  
 13 Has Newfoundland Power analyzed the capacity of its internal resources to execute the  
 14 large volume of Information Systems projects that are ongoing or have been proposed,  
 15 including the ongoing Customer Service System Replacement project? If such analysis  
 16 has been completed and has indicated a need for additional resources (internal or  
 17 external), please provide Newfoundland Power’s plan and associated costs to address  
 those needs.
- 18 **NLH-NP-032** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
 19 **Volume 1, Section 4.2, Feeder Additions for Load Growth at p.3 footnote 5**
- 20 Did Newfoundland Power undertake a cost-benefit analysis comparing the net present  
 21 value of upgrading the two-phase section of PUL-03 compared to that of the selected  
 22 alternative? If yes, please provide. If not, why not?
- 23 **NLH-NP-033** **Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
 24 **Volume 1, Section 4.2, Feeder Additions for Load Growth at p.5 footnote 10**
- 25 Did Newfoundland Power undertake a cost-benefit analysis comparing the net present  
 26 value of completing a load transfer between SPF-01 and SPF-02 to that of the selected  
 27 alternative? If yes, please provide. If not, why not?

1 **NLH-NP-034** Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,  
 2 **Volume 1, Section 4.2, Feeder Additions for Load Growth at p.8 footnote 17**

3 Did Newfoundland Power undertake a cost-benefit analysis comparing the net present  
 4 value of completing a load transfer between VIR-01 and the adjacent distribution line to  
 5 that of the selected alternative? If yes, please provide. If not, why not?

6 **NLH-NP-035** Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021  
 7 **2022 Capital Plan, sec 2.3.2 at p.11**

8 Citation:

9 On a *pro forma* basis, the Company’s 2022 revenue requirement is  
 10 estimated to increase by approximately \$2 million as a result of the  
 11 capital projects proposed for 2022.

12 Please provide a detailed breakdown of this calculation in the following table format for  
 13 both 2022 and 2023:

	2022	2023
Rate Base (A)		
Return % (B)		
<b>Return (A × B = C)</b>		
Depreciation (D)		
Operating and Maintenance (E)		
Income Tax (F)		
<b>Revenue Requirement (C + D + E + F = G)</b>		

14 **NLH-NP-036** Reference: “2022 Capital Budget Application,” Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021  
 15 **2022 Capital Plan, sec 2.3.2 at p.12**

16 Citation:

17 Since 2014, Newfoundland Power’s contribution to revenue  
 18 requirement has increased by approximately 6%. On an inflation-  
 19 adjusted basis, the Company’s contribution to revenue requirement has  
 20 decreased by approximately 2%

21 Please provide the revenue requirement impact of the change in capital in  
 22 Newfoundland Power’s rate base (including depreciation, return, and interest) by year  
 23 from 2014 to 2021.

1 **NLH-NP-037 Reference: "2022 Capital Budget Application," Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021**  
2 **2022 Capital Plan, sec 2.3.2 at p.12**

3 Citation:

4 Table 3 shows Newfoundland Power's actual and inflation-adjusted  
5 contribution to revenue requirement in 2014 and 2021.

6 Please restate Table 3 to compare 2010 to 2021.

7 **NLH-NP-038 Reference: "2022 Capital Budget Application," Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021**  
8 **2022 Capital Plan, sec 2.3.2 at p.13**

9 Citation:

10 Newfoundland Power's contribution to average customer rates has  
11 increased by approximately 17% over the last 2 decades. On an  
12 inflation-adjusted basis, the Company's contribution to average  
13 customer rates decreased by 21%.

14 a) Please provide Newfoundland Power's contribution to customer rates, nominal and  
15 inflation adjusted, as a result of changes to capital in rate base (including  
16 depreciation, interest, and return) for 2000, 2022, and 2023.

17 b) Please provide Newfoundland Power's contribution to customer rates, nominal and  
18 inflation adjusted, for 2000, 2022, and 2023 using a consistent Weighted Average  
19 Cost of Capital of 7.04% for each year.

20 **NLH-NP-039 Reference: "2022 Capital Budget Application," Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
21 **2022 Capital Plan, sec 2.3.2 at p.13**

22 Citation:

23 Table 4 compares Newfoundland Power's total contribution to average  
24 customer rates in ¢/kWh in 2000 and 2021.

25 Please restate Table 4 to compare 2010 to 2021.

1 **NLH-NP-040** **Reference: "2022 Capital Budget Application," Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
2 **Volume 1**

3 The cost for distribution line upgrade and extension work listed in Newfoundland  
4 Power's 2021 CIAC policy range from \$32 per metre to \$64 per metre.

5 It appears that the average cost per metre of distribution line construction and  
6 extension in Newfoundland Power's Feeder Additions for Load Growth and Distribution  
7 Reliability Initiative projects is approximately \$182 per metre. Please explain this  
8 discrepancy.

9 **NLH-NP-041** **Reference: "2022 Capital Budget Application," Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
10 **Volume 1, Section 1.2, Sandy Brook Plant Penstock Replacement, Appendix A**

11 Please provide cost-benefit analysis for this project assuming a 25% reduction in  
12 marginal costs and a 25% increase in capital costs.

13 **NLH-NP-042** **Reference: "2022 Capital Budget Application," Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021,**  
14 **2022 Capital Plan**

15 In light of the current operating environment and anticipated rate pressures, please  
16 detail the efforts considered and/or undertaken by Newfoundland Power to manage its  
17 capital investment levels and associated impact on customers.

18 **NLH-NP-043** **Reference: "2022 Capital Budget Application," Newfoundland Power, May 18, 2021**

19 Please describe the considerations, review, and approval process that takes place at the  
20 senior management/executive level in scrutinizing Newfoundland Power's Capital  
21 Budget Application, in particular, the level of investment that is requested on an annual  
22 and five-year plan basis.

**DATED** at St. John's, in the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador this 13th day of July, 2021.



---

Shirley A. Walsh  
Senior Legal Counsel, Regulatory  
Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro  
500 Columbus Drive P.O. Box 12400  
St. John's, NL A1B 4K7  
Telephone: (709) 685-4973  
Email: shirleywalsh@nlh.nl.ca